Issuing Authority: O.R. Srinivasan  
Addressee: The Chief Secretaries of all State Governments & Union Territory Administrations.

No. 39/37/73-SCT. I  
New Delhi-11001, the 21 May, 1977/31Baisak, 1899

Subject: —Caste status of the offspring of inter-caste married couples.

Sir,

I am directed to say that enquiries about the caste status of the offsprings of the inter-caste married couples, have been sought from this Ministry by various State Governments/Union Territory Administrations from time to time. Accordingly, this question has been receiving the attention of this Ministry for quite some time. A set of legal views on the caste status of such offsprings was already brought out vide this Ministry’s letter of even number dated the 4th March 1975. The matter has, however, been further examined and the comprehensive legal position about the status of the offsprings born to couples where one or both of the spouses is/are member(s) of Scheduled Castes and or Scheduled Tribes, is given in the enclosed Annexures (A to D).

2. It is requested that these instructions may be circulated among all the authorities empowered to issue Scheduled Caste and Scheduled Tribes certificates.

Yours faithfully

(O.R. Srinivasan)

ANNEXURE—A

Legal views on the status of the offspring of a couple where one of the spouses is a member of a Scheduled Caste.

The general position of Law as to that effect of marriage between parties who are Hindus and one of whom belongs to the Scheduled Castes in that under the ancient Hindu law, generally, inter-caste marriage was looked down upon by the propounders and commentators. Some of the authorities, however, reluctantly permitted marriage between a male caste Hindu with a Shudra female and included it in the list of Anuloma marriages although it was stated that in the wedding with a Shudra wife, the ceremony should be performed without Mantras. The children born out of such marriage by a caste Hindu with a woman of an inferior caste had neither the caste of the father nor the status of his Savarn Aurasas-meaning the son born of a caste Hindu wife. They were termed as Anulomaja and belonged to an intermediate caste higher than that of their mother and lower than that of their father. Yajnavalkya omits the son of Brahmin by a Shudra wife from the list of sons mentioned by Manu. Pratiloma marriages, i.e. marriages between woman of a superior caste with a man of an inferior caste, were altogether forbidden and
no rites were prescribed for them in Grihya Sutra and persons entering into such marriages were degraded from the caste.

2. After the passing of various statutory enactments relating to Hindu law, such as, the Hindu Marriages Act, 1955, the Hindu Succession Act, 1956 and the Hindu Minority and Guardianship Act, 1956 customary ban on inter caste marriages in either way, has been lifted by the statutory enactments. Under the Hindu Marriage Act, any two Hindus of different sex, irrespective of their caste may enter into a valid marriage unless such marriage is prohibited by the Statute itself. According to the above three Statutes, all children either legitimate, or illegitimate, one of whose parents is a Hindu, a Buddhist, a Jain or a Sikh by religion and who are brought up as members of the tribe, community, group or family to which their parents belong or belonged, are to be treated as Hindus. In view of the above, the off-springs of marriage between the caste Hindu and a member of the Scheduled Caste community, are Hindus and like the off-spring of marriage in the same caste, are entitled to succeed to the properties of their parents. But the status of his or her parent belonging to the higher caste or a question arises as to whether such a child will acquire the property that of the parent belonging to the Scheduled Caste. On this point we have not come across any direct case law. But we feel that the ratio of the decision in Wilson Read vs C. S. Booth reported in AIR 1958 Assam 128 would apply to such cases. It is stated at p.182, —

“The test which will determine the membership of the individual will not be the purity of blood, but his own conduct in following the customs and the way of life of the tribe; the way in which he was treated by the community and the practice amongst the tribal people in the matter of dealing with the tribal people in the matter of dealing with the persons whose mother was a Khasi and father was a European.”

Similarly, in the case of Muthusamy Mudaliar v Masilamam Mudaliar, reported in ILR 33, Madras, 342, the Court held—

“It is not uncommon process for a class or tribe outside the pale of caste to another pale and if other communities recognised their claim, they are treated as of that class or castes. The process of adoption into the Hindu hierarchy through caste is common both in the North and in the South India. As we have already pointed out, in the past there have been cases where people who judge from the purity of blood could not be Khasis, were taken into their fold or the orthodoxy did not stand in the way of their assimilation into the Khasi community.”

3. The Supreme Court in V. V. Giri v D. S. Dora reported in AIR 1959 SC 1318 (1327) held, —

“….. The caste-status of a person in the context would necessarily have to be determined in the light of the recognition received by him from the members of the caste into which he seeks an entry. There is no evidence on this point at all. Besides the evidence produced by the appellant merely shows some acts by respondent 1 which no
doubt were intended to assert a higher status; but unilateral acts of this character cannot be easily taken to prove that the claim for the higher status which the said acts purport to make is established. That is the view which the High Court has taken and in our opinion the High Court is absolutely right.”

In view of the above observations by superior Courts, it can safely be concluded that the crucial test to determine is to whether a child born out of such a wedlock has been accepted by the Scheduled Caste community as a member of their community and has been brought up in that surrounding and in that community or not. The nexus between the child and the community or class or caste is a real test irrespective of the fact whether the accommodating class or caste or community is Scheduled Caste community or a caste Hindu community. Even if the mother of the child is a member of the Scheduled Caste community, it is possible that the child is accepted by the community of his father and brought up in the surroundings of his father’s relations. In that case, such a child cannot be treated as a member of the Scheduled Caste community and cannot get any benefit as such. Similarly, when the mother belongs to a higher caste and the father is a Scheduled Caste, the father may remain away from the Scheduled Caste Community and the child may be brought up in a different surrounding under the influence of his mother’s relations and her community members. In such cases also, the child cannot be said to be a member of the Scheduled Caste community. In the alternative, where the child irrespective of the fact whether the father or the mother is a member of Scheduled Caste community, is brought up on the Scheduled Caste community as a member of such community, then he has to be treated as a member of the Scheduled Caste community and would be entitled to receive benefits as such.

4. As regards the marriages not registered and marriages not legally valid, it may be pointed out that registration is not mandatory for marriages under the Hindu law. Even under the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, registration under Section 8 is optional and sub-section (5) provides that the validity of any Hindu marriage shall, in no way, be affected by the omission to make entry in the Marriages Register maintained under this Section. Section 7 provides that Hindu marriages may be solemnised in accordance with the customary rites and the ceremonies of either party thereto and, if such ceremony includes the Saptapadi, the marriage becomes complete and binding when the seventh step is taken. In view thereof, all those marriages though not registered by which have been solemnised in accordance with the procedure mentioned in this Section, are to be treated as valid marriages and our opinion mentioned in para 3 above will apply to the children born out of such valid but undersigned marriages.

5. As regards marriages which are not legally valid, it is clear that such children are illegitimate unless invalidity of marriages is due to grant of a decree of nullity by a Court in which case, provisions of Section 16 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, will apply. Under Section 6(b) of the Hindu Minority and Guardianship Act, 1956 the natural guardian of a Hindu minor has been stated to be—

“in case of an illegitimate boy or an illegitimate girl—the mother and after her the father”
6. It can be derived from this that the illegitimate children are generally brought up by the mother and in her own surroundings. Therefore, if the mother belongs to the Scheduled Caste and brings up the child within a Scheduled Caste community, the child can be taken as a member of the Scheduled Caste community. But in this case also the major factor for consideration is whether the child has been accepted by the Scheduled Caste community as a member of their community and he has been brought up as such.

7. The above are the general observations, however, each case has to be examined in the light of the circumstances prevalent in that case and final decisions has to be taken thereof.

ANNEXURE—B

Legal views on the status of the off-springs of a couple where one of the spouses is a member of a Scheduled Tribe

The question has arisen whether the Off-spring born out of wedlock between a couple one of whom is a member of Scheduled Tribe and other is not, should be treated as a Scheduled Tribe or not.

2. It may be stated at the outset that unlike members of Scheduled Castes the members of Scheduled Tribes continue as such even after their conversion to other religion. This is because while Constitution (Scheduled Castes) Order, 1950 provides in clause 3 that only a member of Hindu or Sikh religion shall be deemed to be a member of Scheduled Caste, the Constitution (Scheduled Tribes) Order, 1950 does not provide any such condition. This view has been upheld by the Supreme Court in the case reported in AIR 1964 S.C. at p. 201.

3. It may be stated that unlike members of Scheduled Castes, members of Scheduled Tribes remain in homogenous groups and quite distinct from any other group of Scheduled Tribes. Each Tribe live in a compact group under the care and supervision of the elders of the Society whose words are obeyed in all social matters. A member committing breach of any prescribed conduct is liable to be ex-communicated. The social custom has a greater binding force in their day-to-day life.

4. In the case of marriage between a tribal with a non-tribal, the main factor or consideration is whether the couple were accepted by the tribal society to which the tribal spouse belongs. If he or she, as the case may be, is accepted by the Society then their children shall be deemed to be Scheduled Tribes. But this situation can normally happen when the husband is a member of the Scheduled Tribe. However, a circumstances may be there when a Scheduled Tribe woman may have children from marriage with a non-Scheduled Tribe man. In that event the children may be treated as Scheduled Tribes only if the members of the Scheduled Tribe Community accept them and treat them as members of their own community. This view has been held by the Assam High Court in Wilsom Read v. C.S. Booth reported in AIR 1958 Assam at p. 128, where it has been held—
“The test which will determine the membership of the individual will not be the purity of blood, but his own conduct in following the customs and the way of life of the tribe; the way in which he has been treated by the Community and the practice amongst the tribal people in the matter of dealing with persons whose mother was a Khasi and father was a European”.

Similarly, in the case of Muthusamy Mudaliar v. Masilamam Mudaliar, reported in ILR 33, Madras, 342, the Court held—

“It is not uncommon process for a class or tribe outside the pale of caste to another pale and if other communities recognised their claim they are treated as of that class or caste”.

Similarly, in V. V. Giri v. D. S. Dora, reported in AIR, 1959 S. C. 1318 (1327) the Court held—

“The Caste-status of a person in the context would necessarily have to be determined in the light of the recognition received by him from the members of the caste into which he seeks an entry”.

5. As mentioned above, it is the recognition and acceptance by the Society of the children borne out of a marriage between a member of Scheduled Tribe with an outsider, which is the main determining factor irrespective of whether the Tribe is matriarchal or patriarchal. The final result will always depend on whether the child was accepted as a member of the Scheduled Tribe or not.

5. The general position of law has been stated above. However, each individual case will have to be examined in the light of existing facts and circumstances in such cases.

ANNEXURE—C

Legal views on the status of the off-springs of a couple where both the spouses are members of Scheduled Caste/Scheduled Tribes but each belongs to a different sub-caste/sub-tribe.

1. Under the Constitution (Scheduled Castes) Order, 1950 and the Constitution (Scheduled Tribes) Order, 1950, what is material is the residence of the member of the caste, race or tribe in the localities specified in the respective Schedule. In the case of a minor child the question arises whether his residence will go along with that of his father. Under the principles of prevailing International Law, the domicile of a minor child follows that of his father, and in certain cases of his mother and the minor child is incapable of changing his domicile by any voluntary act. The rule by no means is absolute. Suppose, for instance, a father deserts his son or he is divorced and the custody of his son is given to his wife. In such a case, the court may consider that the minor’s domicile will be that of the mother.

2. Under Section 3 of the Hindu Minority and Guardianship Act, 1956 the
natural guardian in the case of a minor boy or an unmarried girl is father and after him his mother. In the case of an illegitimate boy or an illegitimate unmarried girl, the natural guardian will be the mother and after her, the father.

3. In the above background it has to be seen as to which sub-caste or sub-tribe the off-spring would belong in case the parents belonging to two distinct communities within the same Scheduled Castes or Scheduled Tribes as the case may be. *Prima facie* it would appear that in such cases the children born of such parents could be treated as members of the Scheduled Castes or Scheduled Tribes, as the case may be. The *prima facie* presumption is also in favour of the child possessing the sub-caste or sub-tribe of the father in the large majority of cases, having regard to the concept of domicile mentioned above. Apart from this, it has to be seen whether the child has also been accepted and assimilated in the sub-caste or sub-tribe in that community. Each case has to be examined in the light of the circumstances pertaining to it.

**ANNEXURE—D**

**Legal Views on the status of the off-springs of a couple where one of the spouses is a member of a Scheduled Caste and the other that of a Scheduled Tribe.**

As regards the status of the off-spring whose father is a member of Scheduled Caste and mother of a Scheduled Tribe, the *prima-facie* presumption is in favour of the child possessing the caste of the father in the large majority of cases, having regard to the concept of domicile explained in para 1 of Annexure C. Apart from this, if may also be a relevant criterion to see whether the child has been accepted and assimilated in the Scheduled Caste community to which the father belongs.

2. The principle mentioned above would also apply to the case of an offspring whose mother is a member of a Scheduled Caste and father of a Scheduled Tribe.

3. This is the general position of law. Each case, however, has to be examined in the light of the attendant facts and circumstances.